



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/636,591	08/10/2000	Shuhei Kato	P100341-00003	6504
4372	7590	02/07/2008		
ARENT FOX LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER LAO, LUN S	
			ART UNIT 2615	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 02/07/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com
IPMatters@arentfox.com
Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

<p align="center">Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No.</p> <p>09/636,591</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p> <p>KATO ET AL.</p>	
	<p>Examiner</p> <p>Lun-See Lao</p>	<p>Art Unit</p> <p>2615</p>	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lun-See Lao. (3)_____

(2) Miss. Michele L. Connell. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 30 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Tsukamoto(US PAT. 4,815,352).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed between claimed invention and the prior art of Tsuamoto(352) and discussed why the cited reference to Tsukamoto(US PAT. 4,815,352) reads on the claimed limitation as recited in claim 1 and how to amend the claim limitation to overcome the prior art of the record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


BRIAN CHEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required